Craig R. Berne, OSB #874202

craig@hbclawyers.com

Shawn M. Lindsay, OSB #020695

shawn@hbclawyers.com

Harris Berne Christensen LLP 5000 Meadows Road, Suite 400 Lake Oswego, OR 97035

Telephone: (503) 968-1475

Fax: (503) 968-2003

Of Attorneys for Defendant JENNIFER PETERSON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

MICHAEL ST. JOHN, HUDSON TURMAN, SARAH WILLETT, PAIGE KNIGHT and BRADLEY CERNOHORSKY,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JENNIFER PETERSON and MATTHEW RAMEY, individuals; BARBECUE SHED LLC and RIBWORKS LLC, Oregon limited liability companies; and HIGHWAY 14 RIBWORKS LLC and WASHINGTON RIBWORKS LLC, Washington limited liability companies,

Defendants.

Case No.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) (FEDERAL QUESTION)

(Multnomah County Circuit Court Case No. 18CV19805)

TO: The United States District Court for the District of Oregon, Portland Division

AND TO: Plaintiffs Michael St. John, Hudson Turman, Sarah Willett, Paige Knight, and Bradley Cernohorsky, and their attorney of record, Jon M. Egan of Jon M. Egan, PC, and Defendants Matthew Ramey, Barbecue Shed, LLC, Ribworks, LLC, Highway 14 Ribworks, LLC, and Washington Ribworks, LLC, and their attorney of record, George Guyer of Guyer Law:

Defendant Jennifer Peterson hereby provides notice that she is removing this case now pending in the Multnomah County Circuit Court entitled *Michael St. John, et al., v. Jennifer Peterson, et al.*, Case No. 18CV19805 ("the State Court Action"), to the United States District Court for the District of Oregon.

Defendant Jennifer Peterson has not yet received a copy of the Complaint in the State Court Action, so this removal is timely pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1). A true and correct copy of the summons and complaint are attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and are by this reference made a part hereof. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 collectively are true and correct copies of all the other pleadings and orders sent to counsel for Defendant Jennifer Peterson in the State Court Action, though she has not received these herself.

Plaintiffs have alleged, among other things, that Defendants, including Defendant Jennifer Peterson, have violated the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206, 207, and 203(m)(2)(B). The United States District Courts have original jurisdiction for violation of these statutes. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

The above-described State Court Action is one which may be removed to this Court by Defendant Jennifer Peterson pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441, in that it is a civil action over which the courts of the United States have original jurisdiction.

Venue is proper in the Portland Division which embraces Multnomah County, where the State Court Action was filed, and from which this case was removed, and Clackamas County, where venue was properly set for the State Court Action. LR 3-2(a)(1), 3-2(b).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), a true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed promptly with the clerk of the Circuit Court of Multnomah County, Oregon, and Defendant Jennifer Peterson will give written notice thereof to the parties.

Defendant Jennifer Peterson reserves any and all rights to assert any and all defenses to Plaintiffs' complaint, and to amend or supplement this Notice of Removal as necessary.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Jennifer Peterson hereby removes this case from the Circuit Court of Multnomah County, Oregon, where it is now pending, to this Court.

DATED this 11th day of September 2018.

HARRIS BERNE CHRISTENSEN LLP

By: s/ Craig R. Berne
Craig R. Berne, OSB #874202
craig@hbclawyers.com
Shawn M. Lindsay, OSB #020695
shawn@hbclawyers.com
Of Attorneys for Defendant Jennifer Peterson

Trial Attorney: Craig R. Berne, OSB #874202

1		
2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF	F THE STATE OF OREGON
	FOR THE COUNTY	OF MULTNOMAH
3	MICHAEL ST. JOHN, HUDSON	Case No. 18CV19805
4	TURMAN, SARAH WILLETT, PAIGE KNIGHT and BRADLEY CERNOHORSKY,	SUMMONS
5	Plaintiffs,	•
6	vs.	
7	JENNIFER PETERSON and MATTHEW	
8	RAMEY, individuals; BARBECUE SHED LLC and RIBWORKS LLC, Oregon limited	
9	liability companies; and HIGHWAY 14 RIBWORKS LLC and WASHINGTON	
	RIBWORKS LLC, Washington limited	
10	liability companies,	
11	Defendants.	* = * * * ·
12	NOTICE	ΓΟ DEFENDANT:
13		THESE PAPERS REFULLY!
14	You must "appear" in this case or the other	
15	you must file with the court a legal documer "motion" or "answer" must be given to the	nt called a "motion" or "answer." The
16	days along with the required filing fee. It m	ust be in proper form and have proof of
	service on the plaintiff's attorney or, if the p of service on the plaintiff.	maintiff does not have an attorney, proof
17	If you have questions, you should see an att	
18	finding an attorney, you may contact the Or online at www.oregonstatebar.org or by call	
19	metropolitan area) or toll-free elsewhere in	
20	DATED this 17 th day of May, 2018	JON M. EGAN, P.C.
21		JonEgan
22		Jon M. Egan, OSB #002467
23		Attorney for Plaintiffs

Page 1 – SUMMONS

5/16/2018 5:58 PM 18CV19805

1		
2		
3		
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT O	F THE STATE OF OREGON
5	FOR THE COUNTY	OF MULTNOMAH
6	MICHAEL ST. JOHN, HUDSON TURMAN, SARAH WILLETT, PAIGE	Case No.
7	KNIGHT and BRADLEY CERNOHORSKY,	COMPLAINT
8	Plaintiffs, vs.	Tort Other
9	vs.	Damages estimated at \$100,000.00
	JENNIFER PETERSON and MATTHEW RAMEY, individuals; BARBECUE SHED	Jury Trial Requested
10	LLC and RIBWORKS LLC, Oregon limited liability companies; and HIGHWAY 14	NOT SUBJECT TO MANDATORY ARBITRATION
11	RIBWORKS LLC and WASHINGTON RIBWORKS LLC, Washington limited	Filing fee of \$560 per ORS 21.160(1)(c)
12	liability companies,	
13	Defendants.	
14	Plaintiffs complain as follows against Je	nnifer Peterson, Matthew Ramey,
15	Barbecue Shed LLC, Ribworks LLC, Highwa	ay 14 Ribworks LLC, and Washington
16	Ribworks LLC (collectively, "PINE SHED")	•
17	PRELIMINARY	STATEMENT
18		
19	1	
20	This is an action under state and federal	wage-and-hour and other statutes to
21	recover unpaid wages, stolen tips, statutory	damages, liquidated damages, and civil
22	penalties (and pre- and post-judgment inte	rest thereon), as well as attorney fees,
23	costs and disbursements, and nontaxable e	xpenses. Plaintiffs requested their
- 1		

Page 1 – COMPLAINT

JON M. EGAN, PC 547 FIFTH STREET LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97034-3009 TELEPHONE: (503) 697-3427 • FAX: (866) 311-5629 INFO@EGANLEGALTEAM.COM

1	personnel files and time and pay records from PINE SHED prior to this litigation, but
2	PINE SHED refused to provide them. All allegations herein are therefore made to the
3	best of plaintiffs' and their counsel's good-faith knowledge, information and belief,
4	based upon the evidence adduced to date, and plaintiffs reserve the right to amend
5	their Complaint upon the discovery of additional facts.
6	PARTIES
7	2.
8	At all material times, plaintiffs were residents and citizens of the State of Oregon.
9	Plaintiffs were employees of PINE SHED.
10	3.
11	At all relevant times, Defendant Jennifer Peterson is or was the registered agent
12	and sole listed member of Barbecue Shed LLC, and the sole listed governor of
13	Highway 14 Ribworks LLC. At all relevant times, Defendant Matthew Ramey is or
14	was the registered agent and sole listed member of Ribworks LLC and the sole listed
15	governor of Washington Ribworks LLC. Defendants, and each of them, do business in
16	Multnomah County and elsewhere under the unregistered business names Pine Shed,
17	Pine Shed Ribs, and Pine Shed Ribs and Barbecue, as employers and joint employers
18	of the plaintiffs, each acting directly and indirectly on behalf of every other
19	defendant. PINE SHED operates multiple barbecue restaurant locations and also
20	manufactures and distributes barbecue sauce for retail sale.
21	FACTS
22	4.

Page 2 – COMPLAINT

23

At all material times, PINE SHED deducted 30 minutes from each employee's

time every day, even though the employees were never able to take an uninterrupted 30-minute meal break.

5.

At all material times, PINE SHED larcenously, fraudulently, and by embezzlement and defalcation, stole all of the tips left by customers, both in cash and by credit card, for PINE SHED as fiduciary and bailee for distribution to the employees, after promising each employee at hire that they would receive tips, and after promising each employee multiple times during their employ that they would in future receive tips. PINE SHED said that all tips would be pooled and a ranked distribution paid out to all of the employees. None of the employees knew whether or when those moneys ever got paid, or to whom. The employees simply had to trust defendants to distribute the tip money correctly and not take advantage of them. Defendants, and each of them, were thus in the position of bailor, fiduciary and trustee with regard to those tip pool funds. The tip pool funds constituted a specific, earmarked fund capable of identification, held in trust for the beneficiary employees.

6.

At all material times, PINE SHED often paid plaintiffs nothing at all on payday, sometimes bounced their paychecks, and sometimes intentionally and fraudulently wrote false dates on the paychecks to make it seem as if they had been paid on payday.

7.

At all material times, PINE SHED operated illegal surveillance equipment on the property, recording customers' and employees' video and audio without notice or

Page 3 - COMPLAINT

consent.

2

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 //

Page 4 – COMPLAINT

///

8.

At all material times, PINE SHED did not provide employees with pay stubs, on payday or ever. PINE SHED also failed to provide employees with W-2s by the required deadline. It was also mandatory that PINE SHED employees work at least the first two weeks (sometimes longer) under the table.

9.

At all material times, PINE SHED failed to pay employees for the substantial travel time between their different store locations.

10.

At all material times, PINE SHED set up their timekeeping software to prevent employees from clocking in before or after their scheduled shift times, while simultaneously requiring, suffering and/or permitting the employees to work during times in which they were not clocked in.

11.

Plaintiffs' attorney gave more than 12 days' written prelitigation notice of nonpayment and notice of this wage claim to PINE SHED on behalf of the plaintiffs, and each of them. Plaintiffs' attorney also demanded the production of plaintiffs' personnel files and time and pay records prior to filing this lawsuit. PINE SHED made no payments and produced no records prelitigation.

JON M. EGAN, PC 547 FIFTH STREET LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97034-3009 TELEPHONE: (503) 697-3427 • FAX: (866) 311-5629 INFO@EGANLEGALTEAM.COM

1	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
2	FLSA Minimum Wage
3	12.
4	All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.
5	13.
6	Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 206, PINE SHED was required to pay plaintiffs at least
7	the amount of the federal minimum wage, when those wages were due, but willfully
8	and maliciously failed to do so.
9	14.
10	Plaintiffs are entitled to collect the difference between their wages received when
11	due and the federal minimum wages due in an amount to be proven at trial, in
12	addition to liquidated damages in the same amount, together with attorney fees,
13	costs, and nontaxable expenses, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
14	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
15	FLSA Overtime
16	15.
17	All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.
18	16.
19	Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207, PINE SHED was required to pay plaintiffs at least
20	one and one half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 in
21	a given workweek, when those wages were due, but willfully and maliciously failed to
22	do so.
23	
	I

Page 5 – COMPLAINT

JON M. EGAN, PC 547 FIFTH STREET LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97034-3009 TELEPHONE: (503) 697-3427 - FAX: (866) 311-5629 INFO@EGANLEGALTEAM.COM

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

Plaintiffs are entitled to collect the difference between their wages received when due and the overtime wages due, in an amount to be proven at trial, in addition to liquidated damages in the same amount, together with attorney fees, costs, and nontaxable expenses, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

FLSA Tip Theft

18.

All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.

19.

Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203(m)(2)(B), PINE SHED was prohibited from keeping tips received by its employees for any purposes, including allowing managers or supervisors to keep any portion of employees' tips, but willfully and maliciously did so anyway.

20.

Plaintiffs are entitled to collect the amount of tips unlawfully stolen from them, in an amount to be proven at trial, in addition to liquidated damages in the same amount, together with attorney fees, costs, and nontaxable expenses, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Oregon Minimum Wage

21.

All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.

Page 6 - COMPLAINT

JON M. EGAN, PC 547 FIFTH STREET LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97034-3009 TELEPHONE: (503) 697-3427 • FAX: (866) 311-5629 INFO@EGANLEGALTEAM.COM

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

Page 7 - COMPLAINT

Pursuant to ORS 653.025, PINE SHED was required to pay plaintiffs at least the amount of the applicable Oregon minimum wage, when those wages were due, but willfully and maliciously failed to do so.

23.

Plaintiffs are entitled to collect the difference between the wages received when due and the Oregon minimum wages due in an amount to be proven at trial, together with attorney fees, costs, and nontaxable expenses, as well as pre- and post-judgment interest and the 30 days of statutory penalty wages provided by ORS 653.055 and 652.150.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Oregon Overtime

24.

All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.

25.

Pursuant to ORS 653.261 and its implementing regulations, PINE SHED was required to pay plaintiffs one and one half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 in a given workweek, when those wages were due, but willfully and maliciously failed to do so.

26.

Plaintiffs are entitled to collect the difference between the wages received when due and the overtime wages due in an amount to be proven at trial, together with attorney fees, costs, and nontaxable expenses, as well as pre- and post-judgment

1	interest and the 30 days of statutory penalty wages provided by O.R.S. 653.055 and
2	652.150.
3	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
4	Wrongful Deductions
5	27.
6	All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.
7	28.
8	Pursuant to ORS 652.610, PINE SHED was prohibited from deducting certain
9	amounts from plaintiffs' paychecks but willfully and maliciously did so.
10	29.
11	Plaintiffs are entitled to (for each violation) the greater of \$200 or actual damage
12	in an amount to be proven at trial, pursuant to ORS 652.615, together with attorney
13	fees, costs and pre- and post-judgment interest.
14	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
15	Unpaid Wages Upon Termination
16	30.
17	All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.
18	31.
19	Pursuant to ORS 652.140, PINE SHED was required to pay plaintiffs all earned
20	and unpaid wages by the statutory deadline upon termination of employment but
21	willfully and maliciously failed to do so.
22	32.
23	Plaintiffs are entitled to collect all wages remaining due, in an amount to be

Page 8 – COMPLAINT

JON M. EGAN, PC 547 FIFTH STREET LAKE DEWELO, OR 9704-3009 TELEPHONE: (503) 697-3427 FAX: (866) 311-5629 INFO@EGANLEGALTEAM.COM

1	proven at trial, together with attorney fees and costs, as well as pre- and post-
2	judgment interest, and the 30 days of statutory penalty wages provided by ORS
3	652.150.
4	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION
5	Unpaid Wages
6	33.
7	All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.
8	34.
9	Pursuant to ORS 652.120, PINE SHED was required to pay plaintiffs all wages
10	due, when those wages were due, but willfully and maliciously failed to do so.
11	35⋅
12	Plaintiffs are entitled to collect the wages due in an amount to be proven at trial,
13	together with attorney fees and costs per ORS 652.200, as well as pre- and post-
14	judgment interest.
15	NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION
16	Conversion
17	36.
18	All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.
19	37⋅
20	By misappropriating the tip funds entrusted to them, defendants exercised
21	unlawful dominion and control over the property, which completely interfered with
22	the employees' right to control it, so that defendants may justly be required to repay
23	the full value of the property. This breach of bailment contract and of trust and of

Page 9 - COMPLAINT

1	fiduciary responsibilities qualifies as, and is enforceable as, conversion.
2	38.
3	Plaintiffs are entitled to recover the value of the property tortiously converted, in
4	addition to pre- and post-judgment interest and (on motion) punitive damages.
5	TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
6	Breach of Contract
7	39.
8	All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.
9	40.
10	Defendants told plaintiffs upon their hire that they would be paid "minimum
11	wage plus tips." The defendants breached that material term of the contract, which
12	proximately caused monetary damage to plaintiffs.
13	41.
14	Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to the difference between the tips they received (if
15	any) and that which they were promised.
16	ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
17	Tortious Breach of Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing
18	42.
19	All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.
20	43.
21	Because the defendants collected all tips and gratuities for the purpose of
22	redistributing them, they had a special bailor/fiduciary/trustee relationship with the
23	intended recipients, involving a heightened duty of care beyond and independent

Page 10 - COMPLAINT

JON M. EGAN, PC 547 FIFTH STREET LAKE OSWEGO, QR 97034-3009 TELEPHONE: (503) 697-3427 • FAX: (866) 311-5629 INFO@EGANLEGALTEAM.COM

from that of a simple employment contract. Thus, defendants' intentional action to 1 deprive plaintiffs of their expected contractual benefits was a tortious breach of the 2 duty of good faith and fair dealing, and of defendants' fiduciary obligations, which 3 proximately caused economic and noneconomic damage to plaintiffs. 4 44. 5 Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to economic and noneconomic damages, as well as 6 pre-judgment interest and (upon motion) punitive damages. 7 TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION 8 **Unjust Enrichment** 9 45. 10 All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein. 11 46. 12 Defendants have failed to pay to plaintiffs tip money to which they are rightfully 13 entitled, and defendants have been directly and indirectly unjustly enriched and 14 benefitted thereby, and equity and good conscience demand that plaintiffs' money be 15 16 returned. 47. 17 Plaintiffs are entitled to recover in restitution the money unlawfully taken from 18 them, in an amount to be proven at trial, in addition to pre- and post-judgment 19 interest. 20 21 /// 22 23

Page 11 - COMPLAINT

1	I HIRIEEN I H CAUSE OF ACTION
2	Money Had and Received
3	48.
4	All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.
5	49.
6	Defendants have failed to pay to plaintiffs tip pool money to which ex aequo et
7	bono they are rightfully entitled, and equity and good conscience demand that
8	plaintiffs' money be returned to them by defendants.
9	50.
10	Plaintiffs are entitled to the money unlawfully withheld from them, in an amount
11	to be proven at trial, in addition to pre- and post-judgment interest and (on motion)
12	punitive damages.
13	FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
14	Accounting
15	51.
16	All previous paragraphs are incorporated by reference herein.
17	52.
18	By collecting all tips and gratuities of all employees for the purpose of
19	redistributing them according to the agreed-upon formula, defendants became the
20	bailor, fiduciary and trustee of those funds, holding them in trust for the proper
21	recipients. As such, defendants owe plaintiffs a duty to render an account showing in
22	detail the items taken in and expended, when, to whom, and for what purpose, and
23	that the account rendered and expenditures claimed were correct, just and necessary.

Page 12 - COMPLAINT

JON M. EGAN, PC 547 FIFTH STREET LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97034-3009 TELEPHONE: (503) 697-3427 • FAX: (866) 311-5629 INFO@EGANLEGALTEAM.COM

Page 13 - COMPLAINT

23

fees, per ORS 648.135(2).

1	
2	59.
3	Plaintiffs demand a jury trial on all claims and issues to the extent allowed unde
4	the law.
5	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
6	WHEREFORE, plaintiffs request that the Court award such damages as set forth
7	above and in amounts to be proven at trial; award them attorney fees, costs and
8	expenses of suit; order defendants to pay pre-judgment and post-judgment interest
9	on all amounts due to plaintiffs as a result of the claims; declare defendants'
10	violations as enumerated above; and order such further or alternative relief as the
11	Court deems appropriate.
12	DATED this 16 th day of May, 2018
13	JON M. EGAN, P.C.
14	s/ Jon M. Egan
15	Jon M. Egan, OSB #002467
16	Attorney for Plaintiffs
17	*
18	
19	*
20	
21	
22	
23	

Page 14 - COMPLAINT

JON M. EGAN, PC 547 FIFTH STREET LAKE OSWEGO, QR 97034-3009 TELEPHONE: (503) 697-3427 • FAX: (866) 311-5629 INFO@EGANLEGALTEAM.COM

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

FILED 2018 JUL 29: ...

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON IN JUDICIAL DIST.

MICHAEL ST. JOHN, HUDSON TURMAN, SARAH WILLETT, PAIGE KNIGHT and BRADLEY CERNOHORSKY,

Case No. 18CV19805

Plaintiffs,

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE OF COURT TO SERVE DEFENDANT JENNIFER PETERSON BY ALTERNATIVE MEANS

c .

JENNIFER PETERSON and MATTHEW RAMEY, individuals; BARBECUE SHED LLC and RIBWORKS LLC, Oregon limited liability companies; and HIGHWAY 14 RIBWORKS LLC and WASHINGTON RIBWORKS LLC, Washington limited liability companies,

Defendants.

Plaintiff's counsel having appeared before the Court and supported plaintiff's motion by Declaration, and the Court being advised of all pertinent premises, the Court hereby FINDS that plaintiff has shown that service cannot be made by any method otherwise specified in the Oregon Rules of Civil Procedure or other rule or statute, and the Court in its discretion hereby ORDERS pursuant to ORCP 7 D(6)(a) that service on defendant Jennifer Peterson be made by the following method, which under the circumstances is most reasonably calculated to apprise Ms. Peterson of the existence and pendency of the action:

Via Certified Mail Restricted Delivery, in addition to regular first-class mail, to each of the following addresses:

• 16470 Phantom Bluff Ct., Lake Oswego, OR 97034

Page 1 - ORDER

JON M. EGAN, PC 547 FIFTH STREET LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97034-3009 TELEPHONE: (503) 697-3427 • FAX: (866) 311-5629 INFO@EGANLEGALTEAM.COM



1
2
. 3
4
. 5
6
7
8
9
10
. 11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

- 17730 Pilkington Rd., Lake Oswego, OR 97035
- 1780 Barnes Blvd. SW, Tumwater, WA 98512
- 9982 SE French Acres Dr., Happy Valley, OR 97086
- 14631 SE Wintoon Street, Prineville, OR 97754

And by regular first-class mail to:

- c/o attorney Shawn M. Lindsay, 5000 Meadows Rd., Ste. 400, Lake Oswego, OR 97035
- c/o attorney Theodore J. Piteo, 12909 SW 68th Parkway, Suite 160, Portland, OR 97223

It is further ORDERED that the deadline for Ms. Peterson to appear in this case shall be 33 days from the date of mailing.

JUL 8 0 2018

Styphen K. Bushy

Page 2 - ORDER

CERTIFICATE OF READINESS:

1	CERTIFICATE OF READINESS:
2	
2	This proposed order or judgment is ready for judicial signature because:
3	1. [] Each party affected by this order or judgment has stipulated to the order or
4	judgment, as shown by each party's signature on the document being submitted.
5	2. [] Each party affected by this order or judgment has approved the order or
6	judgment, as shown by each party's signature on the document being submitted or by written confirmation of approval sent to me.
7	3. [] I have served a copy of this order or judgment on each party entitled to service
8	and:
9	a. [] No objection has been served on me.
10	b. [] I received objections that I could not resolve with a party despite reasonable efforts to do so. I have filed a copy of the objections I
11	received and indicated which objections remain unresolved.
12	 c. [] After conferring about objections, [role and name of objecting party] agreed to independently file any remaining objection.
13	4. [X] Service is not required pursuant to subsection (3) of this rule, or by statute, rule, or otherwise.
14	5. [] This is a proposed judgment that includes an award of punitive damages and
15	notice has been served on the Director of the Crime Victims' Assistance Section as required by subsection (5) of this rule.
16	6. [] Other:
17	2
18	DATED 1:
	DATED this 30 th day of July, 2018 JON M. EGAN, P.C.
19	s/ Jon M. Egan
20	Jon M. Egan, OSB #002467
21	Attorney for Plaintiff
22	

Page 3 - ORDER

23

JON M. EGAN, PC
547 FIFTH STREET
LAKE OSSECO, OR 97934-3009
TELEPHONE: (503) 697-3427 • FAX: (866) 311-5629
INFO@EGANLEGALTEAM.COM

8/23/2018 8:59 AM

18CV19805 1 2 3 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON 4 5 FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH 6 MICHAEL ST. JOHN, HUDSON TURMAN, Case No.: 18CV19805 7 SARAH WILLETT, PAIGE KNIGHT and BRADLEY CERNOHORSKY, 8 ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, 9 Plaintiffs, COUNTERCLAIMS, AND CROSS CLAIMS 10 v. (Not subject to mandatory arbitration) 11 JENNIFER PETERSON and MATTHEW Filing Fee: \$265.00 (ORS 21.135(1)) 12 RAMEY, individuals; BARBECUE SHED, LLC and RIBWORKS, LLC, Oregon limited liability 13 companies; and HIGHWAY 14 RIBWORKS, 14 LLC and WASHINGTON RIBWORKS, LLC, Washington limited liability companies, 15 Defendants. 16 17 Defendants MATTHEW RAMEY, BARBECUE SHED, LLC, RIBWORKS, LLC, 18 HIGHWAY 14 RIBWORKS, LLC and WASHINGTON RIBWORKS, LLC (together the "Ramey 19 Defendants") answer the Complaint of MICHAEL ST. JOHN, HUDSON TURMAN, SARAH 20 WILLETT, PAIGE KNIGHT and BRADLEY CERNOHORSKY ("Plaintiffs") as follows: 21 ANSWER TO FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF 22 (Trespass as to Johnson) 23 1. 24 As to Paragraph 1, the Ramey Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the 25 scope or description of Plaintiff's preliminary statement, and therefore deny the same. 26 The Waterstone Building



5895 Jean Road • Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035-5303

503.697.1035 phone • 503.697.1045 fax • www.guyer.law

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

2.

As to Paragraph 2, the Ramey Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the Plaintiff's residency and citizenship, and therefore deny the same.

Defendant BARBECUE SHED, LLC, (Oregon Secretary of State Corporation Division Registry Number 627223-93 and formerly known as RIBWORKS, LLC), admits that Plaintiffs were employees of BARBECUE SHED, LLC.

The Ramey Defendants deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 2.

3.

As to Paragraph 3, The Ramey Defendants admit that at all relevant times, Defendant JENNIFER PETERSON was the registered agent and sole listed member of Defendant BARBECUE SHED, LLC, and the sole listed governor of HIGHWAY 14 RIBWORKS, LLC.

The Ramey Defendants admit that at all relevant times Defendant Matthew Ramey was the registered agent and sole listed member of RIBWORKS, LLC (Oregon Secretary of State Corporation Division Registry Number 1408488-96) and the sole listed governor of Washington Ribworks, LLC.

Defendant BARBECUE SHED, LLC, (Oregon Secretary of State Corporation Division Registry Number 627223-93 and formerly known as RIBWORKS, LLC) admits that it operates a barbecue restaurant in Lake Oswego, Clackamas County, Oregon under the assumed business name PINE SHED RIBS AND BARBECUE.

Defendant HIGHWAY 14 RIBWORKS, LLC admits that it operates a barbecue restaurant located in Bingen, Klickitat County, Washington under the assumed business name PINE SHED RIBS AND BARBECUE.

The Ramey Defendants deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 3.

4.

As to Paragraph 4, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 4.



1	5.
2	As to Paragraph 5, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 5.
3	6.
4	As to Paragraph 6, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 6.
5	7.
6	As to Paragraph 7, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 7.
7	8.
8	As to Paragraph 8, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 8.
9	9.
10	As to Paragraph 9, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 9.
11	10.
12	As to Paragraph 10, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 10.
13	11.
14	As to Paragraph 11, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 11.
15	ANSWER TO FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
16	(FLSA Minimum Wage)
17	12.
18	As to Paragraph 12, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials
19	pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 11 of this Answer.
20	13.
21	As to Paragraph 13, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 13.
22	14.
23	As to Paragraph 14, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 14.
24	
25	
26	



1 2 3 15. 4 5 pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 14 of this Answer. 16. 6 7 8 17. 9 10 11 (FLSA Tip Theft) 18. 12 13 14 pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 17 of this Answer. 15 16 17 20. 18 19 20 (Oregon Minimum Wage) 21 21. 22 pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 20 of this Answer. 23 22. 24

ANSWER TO SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (FLSA Overtime)

As to Paragraph 15, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials

As to Paragraph 16, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 16.

As to Paragraph 17, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 17.

ANSWER TO THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

As to Paragraph 18, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials

As to Paragraph 19, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 19.

As to Paragraph 20, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 20.

ANSWER TO FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

As to Paragraph 21, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials

As to Paragraph 22, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 22.



5895 Jean Road • Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035-5303

503.697.1035 phone • 503.697.1045 fax • www.guyer.law

25

1	23.
2	As to Paragraph 23, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 23.
3	ANSWER TO FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
4	(Oregon Overtime)
5	24.
6	As to Paragraph 24, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials
7	pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 23 of this Answer.
8	25.
9	As to Paragraph 25, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 25.
10	26.
11	As to Paragraph 26, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 26.
12	ANSWER TO SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
13	(Wrongful Deductions)
14	27.
15	As to Paragraph 27, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials
16	pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 26 of this Answer.
17	28.
18	As to Paragraph 28, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 28.
19	29.
20	As to Paragraph 29, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 29.
21	ANSWER TO SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
22	(Unpaid Wages Upon Termination)
23	30.
24	As to Paragraph 30, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials
25	pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Answer.



The Waterstone Building

5895 Jean Road • Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035-5303

503.697.1035 phone • 503.697.1045 fax • www.guyer.law

1	31.
2	As to Paragraph 31, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 31.
3	32.
4	As to Paragraph 32, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 32.
5	ANSWER TO EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION
6	(Unpaid Wages)
7	33.
8	As to Paragraph 33, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials
9	pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Answer.
0	34.
1	As to Paragraph 34, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 34.
2	35.
3	As to Paragraph 35, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 35.
4	ANSWER TO NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION
5	(Conversion)
6	33.
17	As to Paragraph 36, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials
8	pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 35 of this Answer.
9	37.
20	As to Paragraph 37, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 37.
21	38.
22	As to Paragraph 38, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 38.
23	
24	
25	
26	



ANSWER TO TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Contract)

39.

As to Paragraph 39, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 38 of this Answer.

40.

As to Paragraph 40, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 40.

41.

As to Paragraph 41, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 41.

ANSWER TO ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Tortious Breach of Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

42.

As to Paragraph 42, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Answer.

43

As to Paragraph 43, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 43.

44.

As to Paragraph 44, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 44.

ANSWER TO TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unjust Enrichment)

45.

As to Paragraph 45, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 44 of this Answer.

46.

As to Paragraph 46, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 46.

26

24



1	47.
2	As to Paragraph 47, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 47.
3	ANSWER TO THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
4	(Money Had and Received)
5	48.
6	As to Paragraph 48, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials
7	pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 47 of this Answer.
8	49.
9	As to Paragraph 49, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 49.
0	50.
1	As to Paragraph 50, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 50.
2	ANSWER TO FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
3	(Accounting)
4	51.
5	As to Paragraph 51, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials
6	pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 50 of this Answer.
7	52.
8	As to Paragraph 52, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 52.
9	53.
20	As to Paragraph 53, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 53.
21	ANSWER TO FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
22	(Declaratory Judgment)
23	51.
24	As to Paragraph 54, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials
25	pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 53 of this Answer.



The Waterstone Building

5895 Jean Road • Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035-5303

503.697.1035 phone • 503.697.1045 fax • www.guyer.law

1	55.
2	As to Paragraph 55, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 55.
3	ANSWER TO SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
4	(Doing Business Under an Unregistered Name)
5	56.
6	As to Paragraph 56, the Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials
7	pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 55 of this Answer.
8	57.
9	As to Paragraph 57, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 57.
10	58.
11	As to Paragraph 58, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 58.
12	ANSWER TO JURY TRIAL DEMAND
13	59.
14	As to Paragraph 59, the Ramey Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 59.
15	RAMEY DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
16	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17	(Failure to State a Claim)
18	60.
19	The Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials pleaded in
20	Paragraphs 1 through 59 of this Answer.
21	61.
22	Plaintiffs have failed to plead ultimate facts sufficient to state a claim upon which relief can
23	be granted.
24	
25	
26	



RAMEY DEFENDANTS' COUNTERCLAIMS

FIRST COUNTERCLAIM

(Attorney Fees)

62.

The Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions and denials pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 61 of this Answer.

63.

Defendants seek recovery from Plaintiffs, jointly and severally, of reasonable attorney fees and costs paid or incurred by Defendants in defending this action and bringing counterclaims, pursuant to *ORS 20.077* and *ORS 653.055*.

RAMEY DEFENDANTS' CROSSCLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT JENNIFER PERTERSON STATEMENT OF FACTS COMMON TO ALL CROSSCLAIMS

64.

Defendants Matthew Ramey and Jennifer Peterson had been domestic partners, and worked together to create a barbecue restaurant in Lake Oswego, Oregon, operated as Ribworks, LLC, doing business as Pineshed Ribs and Barbecue. In the beginning, in August, 2009, Ribworks, LLC was organized as a single member LLC solely owned by Mr. Ramey. In 2011 the name of Ribworks, LLC was changed to Barbecue Shed, LLC.

In the fall of 2017 Defendant Matthew Ramey began working to open a second location in Bingen, Washington. In preparation for the opening of the Bingen location, Mr. Ramey began working primarily at the Bingen location, while Ms. Peterson spent the majority of her time at the Lake Oswego location. The Bingen location opened in September, 2017. The Bingen location was created as Highway 14 Ribworks, LLC, which was solely owned by Mr. Ramey.

10

1112

13 14

15

1617

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

26

During this time Defendant Jennifer Peterson repeatedly withdrew funds from both the Lake Oswego and Bingen operating accounts for her personal use without the authorization or approval of the Ramey Defendants.

On January 24, 2018 without the knowledge or consent of Mr. Ramey, Ms. Peterson filed an LLC Information Change with the Oregon Secretary of State, Corporations Division, listing herself as the only member of Barbecue Shed, LLC, and filed an express annual report with the Washington Secretary of State Corporations Division, listing herself as the only owner of Highway 14 Ribworks, LLC.

Defendant Jennifer Peterson took control of the Lake Oswego operating accounts, unilaterally removing Defendant Matthew Ramey from the accounts.

Defendant Jennifer Peterson took control of the Lake Oswego location by changing the passwords to e-mail accounts, cloud based point of sale systems, and other such vendor accounts, payroll and accounting records and services, and by failing to provide Defendant Ramey with new passwords or access to the systems and information.

Defendant Jennifer Peterson took control of the physical location of the Lake Oswego restaurant by changing the locks and denying Defendant Matthew Ramey access to the property and restaurant facilities.

RAMEY DEFENDANTS' FIRST CROSSCLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT JENNIFER PERTERSON

(Conversion)

65.

The Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions, denials and facts pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 64 of this Answer.

66.

Defendant Jennifer Peterson wrongfully claimed ownership and control of the Lake Oswego barbecue restaurant and portions of the Bingen operations owned by Defendant Matthew Ramey.



23

24

25

26

By so doing Defendant Jennifer Peterson exercised unlawful dominion and control over the property, which completely interfered with the Ramey Defendants' right to control it, so that Defendant Jennifer Peterson may justly be required to repay the full value of the property. This interference by Defendant Jennifer Peterson qualifies as, and is enforceable as, conversion.

RAMEY DEFENDANTS' SECOND CROSSCLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT JENNIFER PERTERSON

(Unjust Enrichment)

67.

The Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions, denials and facts pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 66 of this Answer.

68.

Defendant Jennifer Peterson has wrongfully obtained and retained money and property to which the Ramey Defendants are rightfully entitled, and Defendant Jennifer Peterson has been unjustly enriched and benefitted thereby, and equity and good conscience demand that the money and property of the Ramey Defendants be returned.

69.

The Ramey Defendants are entitled to recover in restitution the money and property unlawfully taken from them, in an amount to be proven at trial, in addition to pre- and post-judgment interest.

RAMEY DEFENDANTS' THIRD CROSSCLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT JENNIFER PERTERSON

(Money Had and Received)

70.

The Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions, denials and facts pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 69 of this Answer.



2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

1213

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

71.

Defendant Jennifer Peterson has wrongfully failed to pay money to which the Ramey Defendants are rightfully entitled, and equity and good conscience demand that the money be returned by her to the Ramey Defendants be returned.

72.

The Ramey Defendants are entitled recover the money property unlawfully withheld from them, in an amount to be proven at trial, in addition to pre- and post-judgment interest.

RAMEY DEFENDANTS' FOURTH CROSSCLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT JENNIFER PERTERSON

(Accounting)

73.

The Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions, denials and facts pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 72 of this Answer.

74.

By wrongfully obtaining and retaining money and property to which the Ramey Defendants are rightfully entitled, Defendant Jennifer Peterson became the bailor, fiduciary, and trustee of the money and property, holding them in trust for the proper recipients. As such, Defendant Jennifer Peterson owes the Ramey Defendants a duty to render an account in detail showing the items takin in and expended, when, to whom, and for what purpose, and that the account rendered and expenditures claimed were correct, just and necessary.

75.

The Ramey Defendants are entitled to a full accounting of the money and property unlawfully withheld from them, and to an equitable award of any amounts to which such accounting demonstrates that they are entitled.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

♣ GUYER

RAMEY DEFENDANTS' FIFTH CROSSCLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT JENNIFER PERTERSON

(Trespass and Ejectment)

76.

The Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions, denials and facts pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 75 of this Answer.

77.

At all material times the Ramey Defendants held a leasehold interest in the real property which served as the Lake Oswego restaurant location. Defendant Jennifer Peterson ousted the Ramey Defendants from the real property and trespassed upon the property by wrongfully exercising dominion and control of the real property to the exclusion of the Ramey Defendants.

78.

The Ramey Defendants are entitled to an award of ejectment against Defendant Jennifer Peterson, as well as damages resulting from this wrongful trespass, in an amount to be determined at trial.

RAMEY DEFENDANTS' SIXTH CROSSCLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT JENNIFER PERTERSON

(Tortious Interference with a Business Relationship)

79.

The Ramey Defendants repeat and reaffirm the admissions, denials and facts pleaded in Paragraphs 1 through 78 of this Answer.

80.

At all material times the Ramey Defendants had business relationships with their vendors, employees and customers. When Defendant Jennifer Peterson intentionally ousted the Ramey Defendants from ownership and control of the Lake Oswego restaurant and the real property, money and property associated with the Lake Oswego restaurant, she interfered with the Ramey

Defendants ownership of the Lake Oswego restaurant in a tortious manner which proximately caused economic and noneconomic damages to the Ramey Defendants.

81.

The Ramey Defendants are entitled to an award of economic and noneconomic damages, as well as pre-judgment interest, in an amount to be determined at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray for judgment as follows:

- 1. On Plaintiffs' first cause of action (FLSA Minimum Wage)
 - a. That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;
 - b. For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and
 - c. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.
- 2. On Plaintiffs' second cause of action (FLSA Overtime)
 - a. That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;
 - b. For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and
 - c. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.
- 3. On Plaintiffs' third cause of action (FLSA Tip Theft)
 - a. That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;
 - b. For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and
 - c. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.
- 4. On Plaintiffs' fourth cause of action (Oregon Minimum Wage)
 - a. That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;
 - b. For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and
 - c. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.
- 5. On Plaintiffs' fifth cause of action (Oregon Overtime)
 - a. That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;
 - b. For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and



25

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	۱

1		c.	All other relief the court deems just and equitable.	
2	6.	On Plaintiffs' sixth cause of action (Wrongful Deductions)		
3		a.	That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;	
4		b.	For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and	
5		c.	All other relief the court deems just and equitable.	
6	7.	On Pl	aintiffs' seventh cause of action (Unpaid Wages Upon Termination)	
7		a.	That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;	
8		b.	For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and	
9		с.	All other relief the court deems just and equitable.	
0	8.	On Pl	aintiffs' eighth cause of action (Unpaid Wages)	
1		a.	That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;	
2		b.	For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and	
3		c.	All other relief the court deems just and equitable.	
4	9.	On Pl	aintiffs' ninth cause of action (Conversion)	
5		a.	That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;	
6		b.	For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and	
7		c.	All other relief the court deems just and equitable.	
8	10.	On Pl	aintiffs' tenth cause of action (Breach of Contract)	
9		a.	That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;	
0		b.	For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and	
1		с.	All other relief the court deems just and equitable.	
2	11.	On Pl	aintiffs' eleventh cause of action (Tortious Breach of Duty of Good Faith and	
3		Fair [Dealing)	
4		a.	That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;	
5		b.	For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and	



All other relief the court deems just and equitable.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	п

1	12.	On Plaintiffs' twelfth cause of action (Unjust Enrichment)		
2		a. That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;		
3		b. For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and		
4		c. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.		
5	13.	On Plaintiffs' thirteenth cause of action (Money Had and Received)		
6		a. That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;		
7		b. For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and		
8		c. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.		
9	14.	On Plaintiffs' fourteenth cause of action (Accounting)		
10		a. That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;		
11		b. For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and		
12		c. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.		
13	15.	On Plaintiffs' fifteenth cause of action (Declaratory Judgment)		
14		a. That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;		
15		b. For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and		
16		c. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.		
17	16.	On Plaintiffs' sixteenth cause of action (Doing Business Under an Unregistered		
18		Name)		
19		a. That the court dismiss the claim with prejudice;		
20		b. For Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and		
21		c. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.		
22	17.	On the Ramey Defendants' first counterclaim (Attorney Fees)		
23		a. For damages to be determined at trial;		
24		b. For the balance of Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and		
25		c. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.		
26				

18.	On the Ramey Defendants'	First Crossclaim against Defendant Jennifer Peterson
	(Conversion)	

- a. For damages in amounts to be determined at trial but not less than \$10,000.00;
- b. for Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and
- c. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.
- On the Ramey Defendants' Second Crossclaim against Defendant Jennifer Peterson (Unjust Enrichment)
 - a. For damages in amounts to be determined at trial but not less than \$10,000.00;
 - b. for Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and
 - c. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.
- On the Ramey Defendants' Third Crossclaim against Defendant Jennifer Peterson (Money Had and Received)
 - a. For damages in amounts to be determined at trial but not less than \$10,000.00;
 - c. for Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and
 - d. All other relief the court deems just and equitable.
- 21. On the Ramey Defendants' Fourth Crossclaim against Defendant Jennifer Peterson (Accounting)
 - a. For an accounting in detail showing the items takin in and expended, when, to whom, and for what purpose, and that the account rendered and expenditures claimed were correct, just and necessary.
 - b. For damages in amounts to be determined at trial but not less than \$10,000.00;
 - c. for Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred herein; and



1		d.	All other relief the court d	leems just and equitable.
2	22.	On the Ramey Defendants' Fifth Crossclaim against Defendant Jennifer Peterson		
3			pass and Ejectment)	
4		a.	,	to be determined at trial but not less than
5		u.	\$10,000.00;	o se determined at trial sut not less than
		b.		disbursements incurred herein; and
6				
7		c.	All other relief the court d	•
8	23.	On th	ne Ramey Defendants' Sixth	Crossclaim against Defendant Jennifer Peterson
9		(Tort	ious Interference with a Bus	iness Relationship)
10		a.	For damages in amounts t	to be determined at trial but not less than
11			\$10,000.00;	
12		b.	for Defendants' costs and	disbursements incurred herein; and
13		c.	All other relief the court d	leems just and equitable.
14				
15	DATED this	22 nd da	y of AUGUST, 2018	
16		•		GUYER LAW
17				
18				Policy into
				GEORGE GUYER, OSB No. 972765
19				Attorney for Ramey Defendants
20	RAMEY DI	EFEND	PANTS:	ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS:
21	A A TOTAL TO	7 D 4 1 C		GEORGE H. GUYER, ATTORNEY OSB
22			EY, BARBECUE SHED, LLC, HIGHWAY 14	No. 972765 GUYER LAW
23			and WASHINGTON	The Waterstone Building
24	RIBWORKS 17730 Pilkir		oad	5895 Jean Road Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035
25	Lake Oswego	o, Oreg		503.697.1035 phone
26	(503) 635-74	427		503.697.1045 fax george@guyer.law



The Waterstone Building

5895 Jean Road • Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035-5303

503.697.1035 phone • 503.697.1045 fax • www.guyer.law

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I served ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, COUNTERCLAIMS, AND CROSS CLAIMS on:

Jon M. Egan Jon M. Egan, P.C. 547 Fifth Street Lake Oswego, Oregon 97034-3009 info@eganlegalteam.com attorney for Plaintiffs

X By mailing a full, true, and correct copy thereof in a sealed, first-class postage-prepaid envelope, addressed to each person shown above, at the last-known office address of the person, and deposited with the United States Postal Service at Lake Oswego, Oregon, with postage thereon fully prepaid, on the date set forth below.

X By emailing a full, true, and correct copy thereof to said attorneys at their last known working business email address, as shown above, on the date set forth below.

By facsimile transmission to each person shown above, a full, true, and correct copy thereof at their last known facsimile numbers, as shown above, on the date set forth below.

_____ By hand delivering to each person shown above, a full, true, and correct copy thereof to his address shown above, on the date set forth below.

DATED: AUGUST 22, 2018.

ANNA P. WOLL, PARALEGAL

FOR GEORGE H. GUYER, ATTORNEY

OSB 972765

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



I hereby certify that I served the foregoing NOTICE OF REMOVAL UNDER 28 U.S.C.

§ 1441(a) (FEDERAL QUESTION) on:

Jon M. Egan, PC 547 Fifth Street Lake Oswego, OR 97034 P: 503-697-3427 F: 866-311-5629 jegan@eganlegalteam.com

Of Attorneys for Plaintiffs

George Guyer Guyer Law The Waterstone Building 5895 Jean Rd. Lake Oswego, OR 97035 george@guyer.law

Of Attorneys for Defendants Matthew Ramey, Barbecue Shed, LLC, Ribworks, LLC, Highway 14 Ribworks, LLC, and Washington Ribworks, LLC

by the following indicated method or methods on the date set forth below:

	CM/ECF system transmission.
	E-mail. As required by Local Rule 5-11, any interrogatories, requests for production, or requests for admission were e-mailed in Word or WordPerfect format, not in PDF, unless otherwise agreed to by the parties.
П	Facsimile communication device.
×	First-class mail, postage prepaid, and e-mail
	Hand-delivery.
	Overnight courier, delivery prepaid.

DATED this 11th day of September 2018.

HARRIS BERNE CHRISTENSEN LLP

By: s/ Craig R. Berne
Craig R. Berne, OSB #874202
craig@hbclawyers.com
Shawn M. Lindsay, OSB #020695
shawn@hbclawyers.com
Of Attorneys for Defendant Jennifer Peterson